



Liverpool City Region







Speakers

Steve Alcock – Director of Development & Sales, Torus Developments Ltd

Anthony Conroy – Director, Markhams

Paul Jeffrey – Director, Bowker Sadler Architecture

Steven Hunt – Managing Director, Steven A Hunt & Associates

William Baldwin– Director, Sutcliffe





The Client's Perspective Steve Alcock – Torus Developments Ltd



Liverpool City Region



Developer Perspective – creating a brighter future

Looking ahead in 10 years time.....

- Creating a legacy for future generations
- All new build homes expected to be EPC A from 2025
- 25% of new development using MMC
- Zero carbon new build from 2030





Developer Perspective – creating a brighter future

- Low energy, efficient, comfortable homes deliver health and wellbeing benefits for residents
- Greater impact on Torus residents given rising cost of living
- Proactively working with communities and stakeholders to ensure new development enhances the environment





Developer Perspective – next steps

Turn the concept into a reality:

- Continue learning
- Site of 40-80 houses
- Ideally Liverpool, St Helens or Warrington heartland
- With or without planning
- SOS 2023
- Showcase technology









Architectural Considerations & Design Options Paul Jeffrey – Bowker Sadler Architecture



Liverpool City Region

The brief

- **1.** 3 Bed 5 Person House **terrace of four or semi detached.**
- **Functional and practical**, high quality spaces to NDSS 93m². 2.
- Commercial viability and minimising longer term maintenance cost. 3.
- **Fabric first approach** adopting robust materials with appropriate air tightness rating. 4.
- **Customer focused** and avoiding over complicated end user interfaces. 5.
- Incorporate MMC. **6**.
- **Renewables** including primary energy source and supportive technology. 7.
- Materials with highest 'Green Guide' rating achievable, assess embodied carbon/Whole Building Life-Cycle Assessment. 8.
- Heat recovery considered. 9.
- 10. Maximise natural light but address overheating risk.
- **11.** Surface water drainage strategy including attenuation, permeable hard surfaces and storage for garden usage.
- **12.** Improve biodiversity.







Semi-detached arrangement

200% car parking

Bins at rear

Larger rear gardens

Landscaped front gardens



Terraced arrangement

- Intrinsically thermally efficient.
- **0 U-value** through 3 no. party walls.
- Services and capital cost efficiencies.



Typical mid-terrace arrangement







Flush PV panels

Typical section



Front/North elevation

Rear/South elevation







Terraced arrangement - Front/North elevation





Semi-detached arrangement - Front/North elevation



Typical mid-terrace house exterior





Services Hub

- Integrated Twin Compartment design
- Air source heat pump in mesh enclosure to ensure adequate • air flow (Enclosure to be agreed with chosen manufacturer as required clearances around the unit may differ)
- **Battery storage** allied to PV panels (optional)
- **Car charging point**
- Hot water storage tank adjacent to ASHP
- Electricity meter located externally to suit current regulations.

Services hub – diagrammatic elevation view

- **Battery storage** (optional)
- Height clearance above air source heat pump subject to manufacturer's specification
 - Air source heat pump

Heating Strategy

Low temperature heat emitters via skirting

radiators or combination of underfloor heating

to ground floor and skirting radiators or

oversized panel radiators to first floor.

Supplement with towel radiator in bathroom

Towel rail in the toilet/utility room

Ventilation & Heat Recovery Consideration

- Adopt continuous mechanical extract system (allied to adopted air tightness rate $< 5m^3/hm^2$ at 50 Pa)
- Use 'simplified' method relative to glazed areas and purge ventilation in order to address overheating risk
- Consider WWHR carefully, weighing up the holistic benefits

Potential for high efficiency WWHR unit allied to bath / shower Soil vent pipe

Overheating Risk

- method
- ٠ spaces
- analysis

Rear/South elevation

Controlled area of glazing in line with 'simplified'

Maximise natural light to main daytime living

Limit solar gain and remove excess heat

Potentially avoids need for dynamic thermal

Open panel timber frame preferred – for

capital cost and flexibility, however

Markham's (QS) have priced an

alternative Modular approach to satisfy

the min. 55% PMV for The Affordable

Homes MMC Programme

SIP panels use PIR or polyurethane or

polystyrene – perception over fire risk

Full modular offsite – greater capital cost, less flexible, site specific restrictions however it satisfies the min. 55% PMV for The Affordable Homes MMC Programme.

Typical external wall build up

Environmental Sustainability

- BRE Green Guide to Specification
- Use of **FSC timber** products
- **Reduce use of cement** through alternative specifications, including slab and foundation solutions.
- **Lighter weight construction**/ reduced mass and materials used.
- **Reduce waste** off site manufacture/ coordinating sizes/ waste management strategy.
- **Reduce use of high G.W.P. materials**, e.g. glass/ aluminium.
- **Lifecycle assessment**... informing design and assessing carbon footprint.
- Address embodied carbon & energy, GWP, fossil fuel depletion, climate change impacts.
- **Balance choices** with maintenance, weathering, longevity, cost, fire and overheating risk.

Green Guide Materials – Brief Specification And Ratings.

Category	Rating	Green Guide Specification
External Wall	A+	Brickwork with cement mortar/concrete tiles with battens and brea sheathing, timber frame with mineral wool insulation, vapour contr battens, paint
Internal Wall	A+	Timber stud, plasterboard, paint
Separating Wall	A+	Robust Details E-WT-1, Twin timber frames without sheathing board linings, wall ties where necessary to each side – 60mm min. minera more layers of gypsum based board (22kg/m2) and paint
Ground Floor	В	Screed on insulation laid on grouted beam and dense solid block flo
Upper Floor	A+	Chipboard decking on timber I joists
Roof	A+	Timber trussed rafters and joists with insulation, roofing underlay, c concrete interlocking tiles
Windows	А	PVC-U window with steel reinforcement, double glazed
Insulation	A+	Mineral wool insulation

ather membrane, OSB/3 rol layer, plasterboard on

d, 240mm min. between wall wool between studs, 2 or

oring

counter battens, battens and

Typical rear garden

Externals/ Biodiversity

Rain water butt

Permeable surfaces

Bat/ bird boxes

Low/ zero maintenance

wildlife friendly native

planting

Responding to Context

The overall approach to the architectural language and material choice has been based on simple robust detailing and good quality materials, locally sourced where possible.

Placement of the 'service hub' to the front of the home requires integration at the building's threshold but offers the opportunity to create a welcoming entrance feature which gives character and identity to the house.

The previous option of tile hanging 'wrapping' down the elevation and forming an entrance porch is one approach, but we think it is important for the architecture to be able to respond to different contexts in terms of:

- Orientation
- **Topography**
- Local architectural character and materials

The following slides show a further elevational option and illustrates the inherent flexibility of the house type.

Careful consideration of glazing and ventilation to ensure no overheating

Option 2: Front/North elevation

Rear/South elevation

Option 2: Semi-detached arrangement - Front/North elevation

Option 2: Terraced arrangement - Front/North elevation

Option 2: Typical mid-terrace house exterior

Energy & Net Zero Carbon Steven Hunt

Liverpool City Region

Net zero carbon – Construction: "When the amount of carbon emissions associated with a building's product and construction stages up to practical completion is zero or negative, through the use of offsets or the net export of on-site renewable energy."

Net zero carbon – Operational Energy: "When the amount of carbon emissions associated with the building's operational energy on an annual basis is zero or negative. A net zero carbon building is highly energy efficient and powered from on-site and/or off-site renewable energy sources, with any remaining carbon balance offset."

UK Green Building Council Definition – Net Zero Carbon

Net zero carbon – Construction: "When the amount of carbon emissions associated with a building's product and construction stages up to practical completion is zero or negative, through the use of offsets or the net export of on-site renewable energy."

Net zero carbon – Operational Energy: "When the amount of carbon emissions associated with the building's operational energy on an annual basis is zero or negative. A net zero carbon building is highly energy efficient and powered from on-site and/or off-site renewable energy sources, with any remaining carbon balance offset."

UK Green Building Council Definition – Net Zero Carbon

Embodied Carbon Manufacture, transport and installation of construction materials

Operational Carbon Building energy consumption

Operational Carbon

Building energy consumption

Regulated Energy

Energy consumption from controlled fixed building service.

Operational Carbon

Building energy consumption

Regulated Energy

Energy consumption from controlled fixed building service.

- Heating
- Hot water
- Mechanical ventilation
- Lighting

Operational Carbon

Building energy consumption

Regulated Energy

Energy consumption from controlled fixed building service.

- Heating
- Hot water
- Mechanical ventilation
- Lighting

Unregulated Energy

Energy consumption from a system or process that is not controlled.

Operational Carbon

Building energy consumption

Regulated Energy

Energy consumption from controlled fixed building service.

- Heating
- Hot water
- Mechanical ventilation
- Lighting

Unregulated Energy

Energy consumption from a system or process that is not controlled.

- TV •
- Washing machine
- Playstation
- Kettle •

Baseline Requirements

	SAP10 (Future Regs)									
SAP/EPC rating	DER	TER	% Improve ment	DFEE	TFEE	% Improve ment	CO2 Emissions (t/yr)	DPER	TPER	% Improve ment
92 (A)	1.14	10.74	89.39	35.5	35.59	0.27	0.07	21.25	55.49	61.71

ASHP with 4kW PV

OPERATIONAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Regulated	kwh
Heating	1398
Hot water	438
Vent	52
Lighting	205
	2093
Unregulated	kwh
Published data	1270
TOTAL	3363

SAP calcs by:

Structural Solutions William Baldwin

Liverpool City Region

Picture showing Future Found before pouring concrete. NHBC and BBA approved.

Foundations

- The majority of new developments are on **brownfield sites**, that have inherent foundation issues.
- There is a need to **reduce carbon** and adopting alternative foundations from traditional mass fill foundations that include many vehicle movements and a high level of cement, can only provide benefits.
- On recent projects Sutcliffe have recommended Future Found this is a • trenchless foundation system, manufactured in the UK, that uses High-**Density Expanded Polystyrene formwork (EPS),** designed & cut to suit the individual project design.
- The product arrives on site as a **fully engineered ready-made** formwork that fits together to create a fully insulated concrete structural foundation.
- This outline then remains in the ground and concrete poured in one • operation to create an **eco-efficient slab**.
- Ground preparation treatments will be required, however **removing** traditional trench foundation saves on many fronts.
- If the existing ground is very poor piled or vibro foundations can be • accommodated into the designs

Picture showing a rain garden planter

SUDS

- All development sites will now have **SUDS** planning conditions applied to them.
- The proposal from Sutcliffe is to provide **permeable pavement** designs for the carparking at the front, with rainwater butts and raingardens (either depressions or raised planters) to the rear, to help retain the **first 5mm of rainfall**.
- These will capture rainfall in rear and front gardens and **reduce** and slow the impact on existing drainage services.

Cost Considerations Anthony Conroy – Markhams

Liverpool City Region

1.00	ACCOMMODATION				
1.01	Building Type	No.	Qty	Unit	Total
	3B5P Terraced House	12	93	m∠	1,116
	Total	12	No.		1,116 m²
	Total Gross Internal Floor Area		1116	m²	

Future Homes Comparison Exercise - 12 Unit Options

2.00 ESTIMATED COSTS BUILT TO CURRENT (2013) BUILDING REGULATIONS (PRE JUNE 2022 PARTS L/F/O/S UPLIFT)

2.01	Item	Qty	Unit	Rate	Total		
	Facilitating Works Site Strip and Clearance - minor breaking up						
	and vegetation clearance	2,500	m²	5	12,500		
	Substructure Houses - Standard Strip Foundations	1,116	m²	120	133,920		
	Superstructure Houses - Traditional Masonry Construction	1,116	m²	750	837,000		
	Plot Drainage/Attenuation	1,116	m²	85	94,860		
	Road Connection Attenuation Tank to 1 Garden or Driveway	1	Item Item	15,000 40.000	15,000 40.000		
	Sandara (Can Floatria & Water PT)						
	Connections	12	No.	5,000	60,000		
	External Works						
	External Works to Houses -	12	No.	11,500	138,000		
	Dropped Kerbs/Crossovers	12	No.	3,500	42,000		
	Electric Vehicle Charging Point	12	No.	800	9,600		
	Sub Total				1,389,880		
	Preliminaries	46	Weeks	10,500	483,000		
	Present Day Estimated Construction Cost				1,872,880		
	Inflation toQ22 - Excluded				0		
	Total Estimated Construction Cost				1,872,880		
	Total Cost per Square Metre	=		<u>1,872,880</u> 1,116	1,678		
	Total Cost per Unit	=	-	1,872,880 12	156,073		
	Assumed Affordable Rent Tenure Assumed no new access road required - homes built onto existing street Post Contract Design/Professional Fees Excluded Substation Excluded as Cas Rowared Heating System						

Future Homes Comparison Exercise - 12 Unit Options

3.00 ESTIMATED COSTS BUILT TO ANTICIPATED FUTURE HOMES REQUIREMENTS

3.01	Item	Qty	Unit	Rate	Total
	Facilitating Works Site Strip and Clearance - minor breaking up				
	and vegetation clearance	2,500	m²	5	12,500
	Substructure Houses - Standard Strip Foundations	1,116	m ²	120	133.920
	Superstructure	.,			,
	Houses - Panelised - Open Panel Timber Frame system	1,116	m²	750	837,000
	Air Source Heat Pump System (Vaillant Aro-				
	Therm) MVHP System Environent or equal Evolution	12	No.	8,500	102,000
	Flush/In Built Photovoltaic Panels	12	No.	5,500	66,000
	Plot Drainage/Attenuation	1,116	m²	85	94,860
	Road Connection	1	Item	15,000	15,000
	Attenuation Tank to T Garden or Driveway	1	item	40,000	40,000
	Services (Electric & Water, BT) Connections	12	No.	3,750	45,000
	External Works				
	External Works to Houses -	12	No.	13,000	156,000
	Street Lighting	2	NO.	3,500	7,000
	External Bin Store	12	No.	1,500	18,000
	Electric Vehicle Charging Point	12	No.	800	9,600
	Timber hit and miss enclosure - services hub	12	No.	750	9,000
	Sub Total				1,587,880
	Preliminaries	46	Weeks	10,500	483,000
	Present Day Estimated Construction Cost				2,070,880
	Inflation toQ22 - Excluded				0
	Total Estimated Construction Cost				2,070,880
	Tesla Powerwall Battery Storage (Optional Item Not Included in Total Cost)	12	No.	11,000	132,000
	Updated Total Cost with Optional Item				2,202,880
	Total Cost per Square Metre	-		<u>2.070.880</u> 1,116	1,856

Total Cost per Unit - 2,07	0,880	172,573
	12	
		172.573
		156,073
Cost per Unit Difference with Standard:-		16,500
Assumed Affordable Rent Tenure		
Assumed no new access road required - homes built onto existing street		
Post Contract Design/Professional Fees Excluded		
External Works costs include permeable paving and other items on BS prop	posal	
Substation Excluded as assumed Elec load in area adequated for 12 Homes	5	

Future Homes Comparison Exercise - 12 Unit Options

4.00 ESTIMATED COSTS BUILT TO ANTICIPATED FUTURE HOMES REQUIREMENTS - MODULAR CONSTRUCTION

4.01	Item	Qty	Unit	Rate	Total
	Facilitating Works Site Strip and Clearance - minor breaking up and vegetation clearance	2,500	m²	5	12,500
	Substructure Houses - Standard Strip Foundations	1,116	m²	120	133,920
	Superstructure				
	Houses - Full Modular Construction Air Source Heat Pump System (Vaillant Aro-	1,116	m²	1,300	1,450,800
	Therm)	12	No.	8,500	102,000
	MVHR System - Envirovent or equal - Excluded	12	No.	0	0
	Flush/In Built Photovoltaic Panels	12	No.	5,500	66,000
	Plot Drainage/Attenuation	1,116	m²	85	94,860
	Road Connection	1	Item	15,000	15,000
	Attenuation Tank to 1 Garden or Driveway	1	Item	40,000	40,000
	Services (Electric & Water,BT)	10	No	2,750	45.000
	Connections	12	NO.	3,750	45,000
	External Works				
	External Works to Houses -	12	No.	13,000	156,000
	Dropped Kerbs/Crossovers	12	NO.	3,500	42,000
	Street Lighting	2	No.	3,500	7,000
	External Bin Store	12	NO.	1,500	18,000
	Electric Venicle Charging Point	12	NO.	800	9,600
	Timber hit and miss enclosure - services hub	12	NO.	750	9,000
	Sub Total				2,201,680
	Preliminaries	40	Weeks	10,500	420,000
	Present Day Estimated Construction Cost				2,621,680
	Inflation toQ22 - Excluded				0
	Total Estimated Construction Cost				2,621,680
	Tesla Powerwall Battery Storage (Optional Item Not Included in Total Cost)	12	No.	11,000	132,000
	Updated Total Cost with Optional Item				2,753,680
	Total Cost per Square Metre	1		<u>2.621.680</u> 1,116	2,349
	Total Cost per Unit	-	_	2,621,680	218,473

BUILDING COST MANAGEMENT										
COST ASSESSMENT EXERCISE BETWEEN DIFFERENT OPTIONS AND SCHEME SIZES										
	CURRENT (2013) BUILDING CURRENT (2013) BUILDING FUTURE HOMES STANDARD FUTURE HOMES FU									
	PER M ²	PER UNIT	COST PER M ²	COST PER UNIT	COST PER M ²	COST PER UNIT				
12 UNIT (HOUSES ONLY) PROJECT	£1,678	£156.073	£1,856	£172,573	£2,349	£218,473				
25 UNIT (HOUSES ONLY) PROJECT	£1,589	£147,735	£1,766	£164,235	£2,286	£212,625				
50 UNIT (HOUSES ONLY) PROJECT	£1,482	£137,815	£1,677	£155,915	£2,207	£205,225				
100 UNIT (HOUSES ONLY) PROJECT	£1,467	£136,390	£1,653	£153,690	£2,186	£203,340				

